Exhibit 1

2nd Copy - Plaintiff 3rd Copy -Return Original - Court Approved, SCAO 1st Copy- Defendant CASE NO. STATE OF MICHIGAN SUMMONS 22-001777-CD THIRD JUDICIAL CIRCUIT **WAYNE COUNTY** Hon.John H. Gillis, Jr. Court address: 2 Woodward Ave., Detroit MI 48226 Court telephone no.: 313-224-5243 Defendant's name(s), address(es), and telephone no(s). Plaintiff's name(s), address(es), and telephone no(s) Newsome, Kathleen Vehi-Ship LLC 310 East Interstate 30 Plaintiff's attorney, bar no., address, and telephone no Suite 316B Garland, TX 75043 Caitlin E. Malhiot 76606 1300 Broadway, Fifth Floor Detroit, MI 48226 Instructions: Check the items below that apply to you and provide any required information. Submit this form to the court clerk along with your complaint and, if necessary, a case inventory addendum (form MC 21). The summons section will be completed by the court clerk. Domestic Relations Case 🗖 There are no pending or resolved cases within the jurisdiction of the family division of the circuit court involving the family or family members of the person(s) who are the subject of the complaint. There is one or more pending or resolved cases within the jurisdiction of the family division of the circuit court involving the family or family members of the person(s) who are the subject of the complaint. I have separately filed a completed confidential case inventory (form MC 21) listing those cases. 🗖 It is unknown if there are pending or resolved cases within the jurisdiction of the family division of the circuit court involving the family or family members of the person(s) who are the subject of the complaint. Civil Case ☐ This is a business case in which all or part of the action includes a business or commercial dispute under MCL 600,8035 MDHHS and a contracted health plan may have a right to recover expenses in this case. I certify that notice and a copy of the complaint will be provided to MDHHS and (if applicable) the contracted health plan in accordance with MCL 400.106(4). There is no other pending or resolved civil action arising out of the same transaction or occurrence as alleged in the complaint. A civil action between these parties or other parties arising out of the transaction or occurrence alleged in the complaint has been previously filed in \(\Pi \) this court, \(\Pi_{\text{--}} \) where it was given case number. and assigned to Judge The action \square remains \square is no longer pending. Summons section completed by court clerk. **SUMMONS** NOTICE TO THE DEFENDANT: In the name of the people of the State of Michigan you are notified: You are being sued. 2. YOU HAVE 21 DAYS after receiving this summons and a copy of the complaint to file a written answer with the court and serve a copy on the other party or take other lawful action with the court (28 days if you were served by mail or you were served outside this state). 3. If you do not answer or take other action within the time allowed, judgment may be entered against you for the relief demanded in the 4. If you require special accommodations to use the court because of a disability or if you require a foreign language interpreter to help you fully participate in court proceedings, please contact the court immediately to make arrangements. Issue date Expiration date* Court clerk 2/14/2022 5/16/2022 Angila Mayfield Cathy M. Garrett- Wayne County Clerk. *This summons is invalid unless served on or before its expiration date. This document must be sealed by the seal of the court. MC 01 (9/19) **SUMMONS** MCR 1.109(D), MCR 2.102(B), MCR 2.103, MCR 2.104, MCR 2.105

Scanned with CamScanner

Case 2:22-cv-10545-JEL-APP ECF No. 1-1, PageID.7

Filed 03/15/22 Page 3 of 10

SUMMONS Case No. : 22-001777-CD

PROOF OF SERVICE

TO PROCESS SERVER: You are to serve the summons and complaint not later than 91 days from the date of filing or the date of expiration on the order for second summons. You must make and file your return with the court clerk. If you are unable to complete service you must return this original and all copies to the court clerk.

CERTIFICATE / AFFIDAVIT OF SERVICE / NONSERVICE

E	OFFICER	CERTIFI	CATE	OR		AFFIDAVIT OF PROCESS SERVER	
court officer, or	m a sheriff, depur attorney for a pa ation not required	rty (MCR 2	ailiff, appointed 2.104[A][2]), and		Being first duly sworn, I state that I am a legally competent adult, and I am not a party or an officer of a corporate party (MCR 2.103[A]), and that: (notarization required)		
☐ I served pers	sonally a copy of	he summo	ons and complaint.		2 (5		
I served by re together with	the manufacture of	Service B				summons and complaint,	
- whorein him			erved with the Summo	-		distribution of the Committee of the Com	
					harder to	on the defendant(s	
Defendant's name			Complete address(es) of service			Day, date, time	
				15-15-000 - 15-15	er tribe disc	10 17 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	
I have perso have been u	nally attempted to nable to complete	serve the service.	summons and com	plaint, togeth	er with any	attachments, on the following defendant(s) and	
Defendant's name			Complete address(es) of service			Day, date, time	
	- 0	AL AL					
				Asid, Sai	17		
declare under the formation, know	ne penalties of pe dedge, and belie	erjury that t f.	this proof of service	has been ex	amined by r	ne and that its contents are true to the best of	
rvice fee	Miles traveled	Fee \$	Signature				
prrect address fee	Miles traveled	Fee \$	Total fee	Na	Name (type or print)		
				Tit	е		
scribed and sw	vorn to before me	on	eminalisa en yrea i	garahit yar	HOLE BOTH S	County, Michigar	
commission ex		ENFILL & C	Date Signature	to tell be been as		grander gebreiten bei der eine gestellt.	
	Date			Sulfater Street	Deputy co	urt clerk/Notary public	
ary public, Stat	e of Michigan, C	ounty of _	100				
	15683 (1000 Stort			Contract of	H. Heert	- Total 4 (1997) - 1997	
knowledge that	I have received		the summons and		gether with	Attachments	
23 TO 10 TO	The Print Sal No.	Edition Di	acrit p (846a 74) Of	# Strings	Day, dat	Branca State State Commission Com	
					Day, uat	o, une	
onature	TO THE RESERVE TO THE PARTY OF		0	n behalf of	Cathering Wa		

P: (313) 777-71.00 F: (313) 777-5785

(M) MARKOLAW

STATE OF MICHIGAN

WAYNE COUNTY CIRCUIT COURT

KATHLEEN NEWSOME,

Plaintiff,

Case No: Honorable

-CD

VEHI-SHIP, LLC Defendant.

CAITLIN E. MALHIOT (P76606) JONATHAN R. MARKO (P72450) MARKO LAW, PLLC Attorney for Plaintiff 1300 Broadway, 5th Floor Detroit, Michigan 48226 313-777-7529 cait@markolaw.com

> There is no other civil action between these parties arising out of the same transaction or occurrence as alleged in this Complaint pending in this Court, nor has any such action been previously filed and dismissed or transferred after having been assigned to a judge, nor do I know of any other civil action, not between these parties, arising out of the same transaction or occurrence as alleged in this Complaint that is either pending or was previously filed and dismissed, transferred or otherwise disposed of after having been assigned to a Judge in this and the compared the forest and the following would need as a

NOW COMES the Plaintiff, Kathleen Newsome, by and through her attorneys, MARKO LAW, PLLC, and for her Complaint against the above-named Defendant, states as follows:

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

Plaintiff Kathleen Newsome is a female residing in the County of Wayne, 1. State of Michigan.

1

- 2. Defendant Vehi-Ship, LLC is a foreign profit corporation with its principal place of business is in the County of Wayne, State of Michigan.
- 3. The amount in controversy greatly exceeds this Court's jurisdictional requirement.

FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

- 4. Newsome, by reference, incorporates the preceding paragraphs of her Complaint as though fully set forth herein.
- 5. Newsome began her working relationship with Defendant on or about March 1, 2019.
 - 6. Defendant misclassified Newsome as an independent contractor.
- 7. Throughout the working relationship between Newcome and Defendant,
 Defendant was Newsome's legal employer as a matter of law.
 - 8. Newsome was dependent on Defendant as a matter of economic reality.
- 9. Defendant affected the terms, conditions, and/or privileges of Plaintiff's employment.
- 10. Defendant controlled Newsome's work environment, directed her work, did not allow her to subcontract her work, determined the hours she would work and the manner in which she was to perform the work, and acted in all ways as her employer.
- 11. During her employment with Defendant Newsome was subjected to sexual harassment.
- 12. Newsome's supervisor, Howard Johnson, ordered Newsome to allow him to take nude photos of her and threatened to terminate her employment if she failed to do so.

- 13. Newsome's supervisor, Howard Johnson, restrained Newsome and groped her vagina over her clothing.
- 14. Newsome's supervisor, Howard Johnson, made numerous inappropriate sexual comments and demands to her, including "let me see that pussy" and asking for more nude photos of Newsome.
 - 15. Newsome complained about Johnson's inappropriate sexual conduct.
- 16. Newsome made her opposition to Johnson's inappropriate sexual conduct known.
- 17. On or about January, 2020, after Newsome opposed the sexual harassment from her supervisor, Howard Johnson, her employment with Defendant was terminated.
- 18. Newsome's employment was terminated, at least in part, because of her objection to sexual harassment.
- 19. Newsome has suffered and continues to suffer emotional distress as a result of the severe and pervasive sexual harassment to which she was subjected.
- 20. Newsome has suffered and continues to suffer economic damages as a result of the termination of her employment with Defendant.
- 21. As a result of Defendant's actions and inactions, Newsome has suffered, and will continue to suffer, extensive damages, including but not limited to the following:
 - a. Stress;
 - b. Humiliation;
 - c. Emotional Damages;
 - d. Non-economic damages;
 - e. Economic Damages; and

MARKOLAW.COM

f. All other injuries to be discovered throughout discovery.

COUNT I- VIOLATION OF MICHIGAN ELLIOTT-LARSEN CIVIL RIGHTS ACT (Disparate Treatment)

- 22. Plaintiff re-alleges and incorporates by reference the foregoing paragraphs as if fully set forth herein.
- 23. At all material times, Plaintiff was an employee, and Defendant was her employer, covered by and within the meaning of the Michigan Elliott-Larsen Civil Rights Act, MCL 37.2101, et seq.
- 24. Defendant is vicariously liable for the violation of Plaintiff's Rights under the Michigan Elliott-Larsen Civil Rights Act pursuant to the doctrine of respondent superior.
- 25. Plaintiff's sex and/or race were at least one factor that made a difference in Defendant's treatment of Plaintiff.
- 26. Defendant, through its agents, representatives, and employees, was predisposed to discriminate on the basis of sex and/or race and acted in accordance with that predisposition.
- 27. Defendant, through its agents, representatives, and employees, treated Plaintiff differently from similarly situated employees in the terms and conditions of employment, based on unlawful consideration of sex and/or race.
- 28. Defendant's actions were intentional in disregard for Plaintiff's rights and sensibilities.
- 29. As a direct and proximate result of Defendant's unlawful actions, Plaintiff has sustained and continues to sustain injuries and damages.

- 30. Plaintiff re-alleges and incorporates by reference the forgoing paragraphs as if fully set forth within.
- 31. At all material times, Plaintiff was an employee, and Defendant was her employers, covered by and within the meaning of the Michigan Elliott-Larsen Civil Rights Act, MCL 37.2101, et seq.
- 32. Defendant is vicariously liable for the violation of Plaintiff's Rights under Michigan Elliott-Larsen Civil Rights Act under the doctrine of respondeat superior.
- 33. Plaintiff was subjected to unwelcome verbal and physical conduct due to her sex.
 - 34. The unwelcome conduct complained of was based on Plaintiff's sex.
- 35. The unwelcome conduct affected a term or condition of employment and/or had the purpose or effect of unreasonably interfering with Plaintiff's work performance and/or creating an intimidating, hostile or offensive work environment.
- 36. As a direct and proximate result of Defendant's unlawful actions, Plaintiff has sustained and continues to sustain injuries and damages.

COUNT III- VIOLATION OF MICHIGAN ELLIOT-LARSEN CIVIL RIGHTS ACT (Quid Pro Quo Sexual Harassment)

- 37. Plaintiff re-alleges and incorporates by reference the forgoing paragraphs as if fully set forth within.
- 38. At all relevant times Plaintiff was an employee and Defendant was an employer covered by and within the meaning of the Michigan Elliott-Larsen Civil Rights

1300 BROADWAY ST DETROIT, MI 48226

WAT-TT (E(S):

M MARKOLAW

Act, MCL 37.2101, et seq.

- 39. Defendant is vicariously liable for the violation of Plaintiff's Rights under Michigan Elliott-Larsen Civil Rights Act under the doctrine of respondeat superior.
- 40. Plaintiff's supervisor made her acceptance of /submission to sexual harassment a condition of employment with Defendant.
- 41. The unwelcome conduct affected a term or condition of employment and/or had the purpose or effect of unreasonably interfering with Plaintiff's work performance and/or creating an intimidating, hostile or offensive work environment.
- 42. As a direct and proximate result of Defendant's unlawful actions, Plaintiff has sustained and continues to sustain injuries and damages.

COUNT IV- VIOLATION OF MICHIGAN ELLIOT-LARSEN CIVIL RIGHTS ACT (Retaliation)

- 43. Plaintiff re-alleges and incorporates by reference the forgoing paragraphs as if fully set forth within.
- 44. At all relevant times Plaintiff was an employee and Defendant was an employer covered by and within the meaning of the Michigan Elliott-Larsen Civil Rights Act, MCL 37.2101, et seq.
- 45. Defendant retaliated against Plaintiff for asserting her rights under the Michigan Elliott-Larsen Civil Rights Act, MCL 37.2101, et seq., by terminating her employment.
- 46. As a result of Defendant's retaliatory termination of Plaintiff's employment, Plaintiff has suffered and continues to suffer damages.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff respectfully requests this Honorable Court grant judgment in favor of Plaintiff and against Defendant in an amount the Court or jury deems just and fair, plus interest, costs and attorney fees.

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Caitlin E. Malhiot
Caitlin E. Malhiot (P76606)
Jonathan R. Marko (P72450)
MARKO LAW, PLLC
1300 Broadway Street, Fifth Floor
Detroit, MI 48226
Phone: 313-879-0229

Email: cait@markolaw.com

Dated: February 14, 2022

8

ENDADRENY ST. I STH.PLC

SESTERED SES

MARKOLAW

7